GMC & PROFESSIONAL REGULATION | MODULE 09

Interim orders, MPTS hearings, sanctions and appeals

Prepare for independent tribunal decisions on interim restriction, facts, impairment, sanction, review and appeal.

✓ 18 min reading

✓ Full teaching, practical next steps and reflection

✓ Six practice questions with answers and explanations

✓ Complete source list and jurisdiction notes

Prepared for SAS, locally employed and other UK doctors

Author: Dr N Aziz

Using this module

Independent education	This is general professional information, not individual clinical, legal, employment, immigration, regulatory, tax or pensions advice. Current official instructions and the circumstances of the individual case remain controlling. Practice scores do not establish professional competence or accreditation.

The short answer

The MPTS is the independent tribunal service. Interim orders manage risk while a case is unresolved. A medical practitioners tribunal decides facts, current impairment and action; possible outcomes include no action, undertakings, conditions, suspension or erasure. Representation and early preparation are essential.

Three next actions

- Confirm the hearing type, allegations, bundle, timetable and whether it will be public.
- Work with a regulatory lawyer on evidence, witnesses, adjustments and submissions.
- Prepare health and practical support for the hearing and the period after the decision.

Learning objectives

- Distinguish interim and substantive tribunals.
- Understand the stages and outcomes of a medical practitioners tribunal.
- Recognise review, restoration and appeal routes.

Interim orders tribunals

An interim tribunal considers whether temporary conditions or suspension are necessary for public protection, public interest or the doctor's interests while investigation continues. It does not finally determine the allegation. Orders are reviewed at required intervals and can profoundly affect employment and wellbeing.

Provide current safeguards and workable alternatives through representation. Comply immediately with any order and ensure employers understand it.

- Interim is not final.
- Proportional alternatives matter.
- Comply and operationalise.

Facts, impairment and sanction

A substantive tribunal normally considers whether alleged facts are proved, whether fitness to practise is currently impaired, and what action is necessary. The civil standard of proof applies. Evidence of context, insight, remediation and current practice may be relevant to impairment and sanction even where facts are admitted.

Keep legal submissions and personal reflection coordinated. Do not assume a good character reference resolves a serious factual allegation.

- Three decision stages.
- Current impairment differs from historic event.
- Evidence must fit the issue.

Public hearings and confidentiality

Most substantive hearings are public, while health or confidential evidence may justify private handling for part of a hearing. Published schedules and decisions can affect reputation. Discuss applications for privacy, anonymity or adjustments with the representative; they are not automatic.

Do not engage with press or social media without advice. Supporters should understand reporting restrictions and document handling.

- Publicity is the default with exceptions.
- Seek privacy directions through process.
- Control external communication.

Warnings and sanctions

A tribunal may take no action, accept undertakings in defined circumstances, impose conditions, suspend or erase. If not impaired, it may still issue a warning. Sanction is protective and proportionate, not a tariff for punishment. The tribunal uses published sanctions guidance.

Workability matters. Conditions requiring a workplace, supervisor or testing can be difficult for doctors outside employment, so practical evidence should be gathered early.

- Protective purpose.
- Published guidance.
- Test conditions in real life.

Reviews and restoration

Review hearings assess whether impairment and restriction remain and whether return to less restricted or unrestricted practice is safe. Compliance alone may not be enough; evidence of insight, remediation, health stability and safe practice can be important. After erasure, restoration may be sought only after the statutory period and requires tribunal assessment.

Plan review evidence from the first day of an order. Keep supervisor reports, CPD, audit and treatment evidence in the required form.

- Prepare continuously for review.
- Evidence change, not passage of time.
- Restoration is a separate process.

Appeal and challenge

Doctors may have statutory appeal rights against specified tribunal decisions to the relevant court, with jurisdiction depending on where they are registered or reside. Deadlines and grounds are technical and short. The GMC also has routes to challenge decisions in defined circumstances.

An appeal is not a rehearing simply because the result is disappointing. Obtain specialist legal advice immediately on error, evidence, proportionality, procedure and interim effect.

- Act urgently.
- Use specialist regulatory counsel.
- Understand the order during appeal.

Worked example: preparing for an interim hearing

A generic doctor faces an application for interim suspension after a serious allegation. The tribunal is not deciding final guilt. The representative may present current workplace safeguards, supervision, scope restriction, employer support and evidence about risk, while reserving the doctor’s position on contested facts. The doctor must understand that public interest can extend beyond immediate clinical safety.

A practical conditions proposal needs named supervision, available employment, reporting and clarity. Conditions that cannot operate may not be a realistic alternative to suspension.

- Interim purpose.
- Current safeguards.
- Workable alternatives.

Hearing preparation beyond the legal bundle

Confirm dates, venue or technology, daily timetable, representation, witnesses, adjustments, private-evidence applications, accommodation, medication, meals and a support person. Read witness statements and exhibits through the representative’s plan. Practise answering accurately without speeches, guessing or arguing with the question.

Plan for the decision day and the following 48 hours. Decide who will receive the outcome, how employers will be notified, who will accompany the doctor and what urgent health support is available.

- Legal, practical and health preparation.
- Accurate oral evidence.
- Post-decision safety plan.

Common mistake

Avoid this	Preparing only for the facts stage can leave the doctor without organised evidence on current impairment, workable conditions or review.

Pause and reflect

What evidence would the tribunal need at each separate stage: facts, impairment and sanction?

Takeaways

- Interim orders are protective and temporary, not final findings.
- MPTS decisions progress through distinct legal questions.
- Appeal and review preparation should begin early.

Knowledge check

Choose one best answer. These practice questions support reflection; they do not assess a real case or confer accreditation. Answer positions match the browser edition.

1. What does an interim suspension prove?

A. It is a temporary risk measure, not a final finding of the allegation

B. Erasure is automatic

C. The employer investigation ends

D. The facts are finally proved

2. A tribunal proves facts but must still consider what next?

A. Whether the complaint fee was paid

B. Current impairment and any necessary action

C. Only employment status

D. Automatic erasure

3. Sensitive health evidence is central to a hearing. What should the doctor do?

A. Assume the whole hearing is private

B. Refuse to provide any evidence

C. Discuss a proportionate privacy application with the representative

D. Publish it first

4. Which question matters when proposing conditions?

A. Will they punish the doctor?

B. Do they sound strict?

C. Can they remain secret from employers?

D. Can they be workable, measurable and sufficient to protect the public?

5. What best prepares for a review hearing?

A. Ignoring supervisor reports

B. A generic apology

C. Waiting until the last week

D. A continuous, organised record of compliance, insight, remediation and current risk management

6. A doctor wishes to appeal an MPTS decision. What is the first step?

A. Continue unrestricted practice while preparing the appeal paperwork

B. Obtain urgent specialist advice on the statutory route and deadline

C. Write to the complainant

D. Wait for employer appeal

Answers and explanations

1. A — It is a temporary risk measure, not a final finding of the allegation

It is a temporary risk measure, not a final finding of the allegation This reflects the safer principle explained in “Interim orders tribunals”.

Re-read “Interim orders tribunals” and identify the action, adviser or evidence needed before proceeding.

2. B — Current impairment and any necessary action

Current impairment and any necessary action This reflects the safer principle explained in “Facts, impairment and sanction”.

Re-read “Facts, impairment and sanction” and identify the action, adviser or evidence needed before proceeding.

3. C — Discuss a proportionate privacy application with the representative

Discuss a proportionate privacy application with the representative This reflects the safer principle explained in “Public hearings and confidentiality”.

Re-read “Public hearings and confidentiality” and identify the action, adviser or evidence needed before proceeding.

4. D — Can they be workable, measurable and sufficient to protect the public?

Can they be workable, measurable and sufficient to protect the public? This reflects the safer principle explained in “Warnings and sanctions”.

Re-read “Warnings and sanctions” and identify the action, adviser or evidence needed before proceeding.

5. D — A continuous, organised record of compliance, insight, remediation and current risk management

A continuous, organised record of compliance, insight, remediation and current risk management This reflects the safer principle explained in “Reviews and restoration”.

Re-read “Reviews and restoration” and identify the action, adviser or evidence needed before proceeding.

6. B — Obtain urgent specialist advice on the statutory route and deadline

Obtain urgent specialist advice on the statutory route and deadline This reflects the safer principle explained in “Appeal and challenge”.

Re-read “Appeal and challenge” and identify the action, adviser or evidence needed before proceeding.

Official and professional sources

Confirm the current source version and applicable nation, contract, scheme or specialty. A source list is not a statement that every rule applies to every reader.

MPTS: How medical practitioners tribunals work
UK · https://www.mpts-uk.org/hearings-and-decisions/hearing-types/how-a-hearing-works-for-doctors/medical-practitioners-tribunals

MPTS: Legislation governing doctors' tribunals
UK · https://www.mpts-uk.org/about/how-we-work/legislation

MPTS: Sanctions guidance
UK · https://www.mpts-uk.org/cdn/mpts-documents/07_-dc4198-sanctions-guidance-5-february-2024_pdf-104619554.pdf

Medical Act 1983, section 35C
UK · https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1983/54/section/35C

BMA Doctor Support Service for GMC investigations
UK · https://www.bma.org.uk/advice-and-support/your-wellbeing/wellbeing-support-services/gmc-investigation-support-doctor-support-service

Edition and verification

Editorial edition: 9 September 2026. The page source-review date is 2026-09-07. A publication date, editorial edit and substantive source check have different meanings. See sasdoctors.com/corrections for material corrections and the scope of review.

Protect confidentiality. Do not enter patient-identifiable information, confidential employer material or privileged case details into website tools. HTML teaching and worksheet alternatives are available through sasdoctors.com/resources.