WELLBEING & WORKPLACE DIGNITY | MODULE 03

Workload, fatigue and unsafe pressure

Recognise when workload becomes a health or patient-safety risk and turn general pressure into evidence that can be acted upon.

✓ 17 min reading

✓ Full teaching, practical next steps and reflection

✓ Six practice questions with answers and explanations

✓ Complete source list and jurisdiction notes

Prepared for SAS, locally employed and other UK doctors

Author: Dr N Aziz

Using this module

Independent education	This is general professional information, not individual clinical, legal, employment, immigration, regulatory, tax or pensions advice. Current official instructions and the circumstances of the individual case remain controlling. Practice scores do not establish professional competence or accreditation.

The short answer

Unsafe pressure should be described through observable demands, available resources, lost recovery and consequences. Protect immediate care, document the pattern and use the contractual, safety and speaking-up routes that fit your nation and employment arrangement.

Three next actions

- Record the specific workload mismatch rather than relying on the word 'busy'.
- Escalate immediate patient risk through the clinical chain of command.
- Request a time-limited review of workload, staffing, role expectations and recovery.

Learning objectives

- Recognise fatigue and workload as system risks.
- Document workload in a decision-useful way.
- Choose immediate and longer-term escalation routes.

When pressure becomes risk

Demand becomes unsafe when the expected work cannot reliably be completed to an acceptable standard with the people, time, information, equipment and senior support available. Warning signs include missed breaks, recurrent late finishes, unreviewed results, delayed decisions, hurried handovers, work outside agreed scope, inability to obtain senior input and repeated reliance on goodwill. The absence of a serious incident does not prove that the system is safe.

Fatigue affects attention, working memory, judgement, emotional regulation and driving. Doctors may underestimate impairment because fatigue has become normal. A culture that praises endurance can convert an exceptional response into a permanent staffing model. The relevant question is not whether a particular doctor can survive another shift, but whether the system offers reasonable conditions for safe and sustainable work.

Describe the gap precisely

Translate pressure into a comparison between demand and capacity. Record patient numbers and acuity where permitted, staffing and skill mix, competing duties, access to supervision, missed rest, hours beyond the roster, delayed tasks, near misses and actions taken. Protect confidentiality: use incident systems for patient details and keep personal workload notes free of identifiers.

State the consequence and requested remedy. For example: 'Between 17:00 and 20:00 one doctor covered two clinical areas, received nine referrals and could not complete two medication reconciliations before handover. I am requesting review of evening staffing and referral limits.' This is more actionable than 'the shift was terrible'.

Act in the moment

Prioritise urgent care, communicate capacity early and ask the responsible senior to set priorities when everything cannot be completed. Do not silently accept incompatible instructions. Clarify what will be deferred, who accepts the decision and how the handover will occur. Use the incident-reporting system when risk or harm meets local criteria.

Working beyond competence or without required support should be escalated explicitly. GMC standards require doctors to work within competence and raise concerns where patient safety may be compromised. Escalation is not refusal to help; it is an attempt to obtain a safe plan and make responsibility visible.

Contract, rota and job design

Check the documents governing the work: contract, job description, job plan or work schedule, rota, on-call arrangements and local safe-working processes. SAS contracts, locally employed contracts and resident-doctor arrangements are not interchangeable, and the route for recording extra work differs across the UK. Obtain union advice where interpretation or enforcement is disputed.

A job-planning conversation should consider predictable workload, supporting professional activities, administration, travel, handover, supervision and on-call work rather than counting only visible clinical contact. If additional work has become recurrent, it should not remain an informal favour.

What a responsible organisation should examine

A sound response looks beyond the individual's coping style. It reviews the risk factors described by the HSE Management Standards: demands, control, support, relationships, role and change. It also checks whether particular groups receive worse rotas, fewer development opportunities, less control or disproportionate scrutiny. Aggregate patterns may show an equality or workforce problem that individual resilience programmes will not correct.

Actions should have owners, timescales and review measures. Temporary mitigations may be necessary, but they should not become the permanent solution. Staff should be told what changed and how ongoing risk will be monitored.

When speaking up is needed

If ordinary management routes do not resolve a material safety risk, use the formal speaking-up framework for your nation. In England this may include a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian; Wales uses the Speaking up Safely framework; Scotland has National Whistleblowing Standards and an Independent National Whistleblowing Officer; Northern Ireland has HSC raising-concerns arrangements. Local policies should identify contacts and escalation stages.

Seek advice early if you fear detriment, hold a sponsored visa, are on a fixed-term contract or are already subject to another process. Keep the safety concern factual and separate from unrelated employment grievances, while recognising that the same events can sometimes engage more than one route.

Worked example: turning overload into a decision

A SAS doctor covers two wards after an unfilled absence. At 16:30 they still have four new reviews, results to action and a deteriorating patient. Instead of trying to complete everything invisibly, they contact the responsible senior, state the current demand and staffing, identify the urgent patient and ask which lower-priority tasks will be deferred and handed over. The agreed decision is documented through the proper clinical and operational channels.

After the shift, the doctor records hours, missed break, referrals, deferred tasks and the escalation response without patient identifiers. Repetition over several weeks supports a formal workload and job-plan review. Where unresolved risk persists, the doctor obtains advice about the relevant speaking-up route.

Questions for a workload review

Ask who owns demand at each time of day, what activity data are available, what safe prioritisation rules exist, where additional work is recorded, how travel and handover are counted, which duties require senior availability and what contingency applies when staffing falls below plan.

Request measures that can be reviewed: frequency of late finishes, missed breaks, unresolved tasks, incident reports, staff turnover, sickness, patient delays and reliance on temporary cover. Numbers need interpretation, but they make chronic pressure harder to dismiss as an individual impression.

Common mistake

Avoid this	Absorbing recurrent extra work to protect colleagues may conceal the staffing risk and make an unsafe arrangement appear viable.

Pause and reflect

What evidence would allow someone outside your team to understand the mismatch between demand and capacity?

Takeaways

- Describe demand, capacity, consequence and requested remedy.
- Escalate immediate risk while preserving a factual record.
- Use the correct contractual and speaking-up route for your nation and grade.

Knowledge check

Choose one best answer. These practice questions support reflection; they do not assess a real case or confer accreditation. Answer positions match the browser edition.

1. Which record best demonstrates unsafe workload?

A. The shift was awful

B. A patient-identifiable diary on a personal phone

C. Everyone knows the rota is bad

D. Demand, staffing, missed work, consequences and action requested

2. Everything cannot be completed safely during a shift. What should the doctor do?

A. Work indefinitely after the shift

B. Ask the responsible senior to agree priorities and handover

C. Complete only preferred tasks

D. Choose silently and leave

3. What does absence of a serious incident prove?

A. The staffing is adequate

B. The doctor exaggerated

C. The system is safe

D. Nothing conclusive about future safety

4. Which document alone determines all workload obligations?

A. The contract

B. No single document; the set must be read together

C. The rota

D. The job plan

5. What should an organisational response include?

A. An owner, timescale and measure for risk controls

B. Resilience training only

C. A request to stop reporting

D. An assumption that all grades experience the rota equally

6. Which statement about speaking-up routes is correct?

A. They differ by nation and local policy

B. One UK procedure applies identically everywhere

C. They are only for consultants

D. They replace incident reporting in every case

Answers and explanations

1. D — Demand, staffing, missed work, consequences and action requested

Decision-makers need observable evidence and a clear requested remedy.

Review the demand-capacity-consequence structure.

2. B — Ask the responsible senior to agree priorities and handover

Visible prioritisation and handover protect patients and clarify responsibility.

Revisit in-the-moment escalation.

3. D — Nothing conclusive about future safety

Near misses and recurring weak controls may exist before harm occurs.

Review leading indicators of unsafe pressure.

4. B — No single document; the set must be read together

Contract, role description, job plan or work schedule, rota and policies interact.

Review the employment-document relationship.

5. A — An owner, timescale and measure for risk controls

Accountable action requires ownership, timing and review.

Review the system-level response.

6. A — They differ by nation and local policy

The four nations use different frameworks and local contacts.

Review the four-nation routes.

Official and professional sources

Confirm the current source version and applicable nation, contract, scheme or specialty. A source list is not a statement that every rule applies to every reader.

Good medical practice 2024
UK · https://www.gmc-uk.org/cdn/documents/good-medical-practice-2024---english_pdf-102607294.pdf

HSE Management Standards for work-related stress
Great Britain · https://www.hse.gov.uk/stress/standards/

NHS England Freedom to Speak Up policy
England · https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/PAR1245i-NHS-freedom-to-speak-up-national-Policy-eBook.pdf

Speaking up Safely: a framework for the NHS in Wales
Wales · https://www.gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2023-10/speaking-up-safely_0.pdf

Independent National Whistleblowing Officer standards
Scotland · https://inwo.spso.org.uk/national-whistleblowing-standards

HSC Northern Ireland: your right to raise a concern
Northern Ireland · https://www.health-ni.gov.uk/sites/default/files/publications/health/hsc-whistleblowing.PDF

Edition and verification

Editorial edition: 9 September 2026. The page source-review date is 2026-09-07. A publication date, editorial edit and substantive source check have different meanings. See sasdoctors.com/corrections for material corrections and the scope of review.

Protect confidentiality. Do not enter patient-identifiable information, confidential employer material or privileged case details into website tools. HTML teaching and worksheet alternatives are available through sasdoctors.com/resources.